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Introduction
Iran has been among the most fervently talked about countries over the past months. Since the establishment of the Islamic Republic of Iran, with the revolution that took place in 1979, Tehran initiated the revolution-exporting project and vehemently put the liberation of Palestine and Jerusalem at the center of its official rhetoric. In the aftermath of Hamas’ Al-Aqsa Flood Operation, and while Gaza was being devastated by Israel and Palestine was going through very heated times, it was natural for Iran’s name to come to the fore in the political centers of power.

However, with the steps it has taken thus far, even if the criticism of its opponents is ignored, Iran has not even been able to satisfy its allies, leading to a certain level of disappointment in its alliance networks. Taking advantage of the windows of opportunity created by the turmoil caused by the Arab Spring, Tehran has increased its influence in Syria, Iraq, Yemen, and Lebanon through Hezbollah and has established a serious influence - more accurately, tutelage - over the policies of the capitals of the mentioned countries. However, while Gaza was being destroyed, Tehran did not directly intervene in the war but engaged in a power rivalry with Israel and the US through its non-state proxies. The most prominent organization in such a process was the Houthis in Yemen. The Houthis, who seriously affected the global maritime trade in the Red Sea and the Bab al-Mandab Strait with their attacks, quickly drew the wrath of the US and UK and was subjected to attacks.

Despite this, Tehran seems to have made considerable progress in its mission to create a second Hezbollah from the Houthis after the outbreak of the Gaza crisis. To put it plainly, Houthis have become a formidable fortress for Tehran as time passes. The epithets used for the Houthis in some outlets, such as the ‘Yemeni Armed Forces,’ show that the group has made progress in its search for legitimacy.

While making the current moves, Tehran remains in its comfort zone as it states that the armed organizations it allies with make their independent decisions, and Iran does not order anyone, employing plausible deniability. In other words, by embracing plausible deniability, Tehran tries to avoid the economic, political, legal, and military consequences of the actions taken by its proxies. Whether Iran can be deemed successful in this or not is a separate discussion.

Islamic Revolutionary Guard Corps and Tehran-Backed Militias Under Fire
The fact that Tehran has not engaged in a direct war with Israel has not deterred Tel Aviv and Washington from striking high-ranking figures of the Islamic Revolutionary Guard Corps (IRGC) as well as Tehran-backed militias. Since the beginning of the Gaza war, the US-Israeli duo has targeted senior Iranian-backed figures in Lebanon, Iraq, Syria, and Yemen.
Deputy Chairman of Hamas Political Bureau Saleh al-Arouri was assassinated in Lebanon, while Hashd al-Shaabi commander Abu Taqwa was targeted in Iraq, and IRGC General Reza Mousavi was killed in Damascus. Lately, 5 IRGC members, including Sadegh Omidzadeh, an intelligence officer, were killed in the Sayyida Zainab area of Damascus, which is known as a stronghold of Iran. It is essential to see that following the outbreak of the Gaza war, Israel changed its strategy against Iran. Tel Aviv used to target depots, hardware, etc., belonging to Iran in Syria before the war. Yet, after the Gaza crisis, Tel Aviv directly targets high-level figures and attack planners affiliated with the IRGC.

Tehran Under Pressure, and Irrationality Prevails

Tehran has come under severe pressure due to the assassination of its senior military leaders by Israel and the US and the targeting of the organizations it supports. The country has not intervened in the Gaza crisis to the extent expected so far, disappointing at least some of its allies. Moreover, the fact that the coffins of senior military leaders of the IRGC who were targeted outside Iranian territory increases tension in domestic politics in the country when they arrive in Tehran. As if this crisis was not enough, the terror attack carried out by ISIS in Kerman killed dozens of people and wounded hundreds, strained the political atmosphere in the country. Iran responds to this tense atmosphere by taking harsher measures in domestic politics. Nobody is unaware of the recent execution news of political prisoners in the country. Moreover, countries such as Turkey and Saudi Arabia are constantly criticized in the Iranian media due to their so-far reaction to the Gaza war and not severing ties with Israel. This kind of criticism can also be read as defusing domestic tension by pointing to other areas.

In such a critical and tense time, policymakers in Tehran would have been expected to at least not engage in hostile actions against neighboring countries and amplify its alliance-building efforts. However, as the pressure on Tehran increases, it engages in more irrational actions. In other words, irrationality prevails over composure/balance in Iran. While Israel and the US constantly targeted the non-state armed actors supported by Iran, Tehran hit Erbil, the capital of the Kurdistan Region of Iraq, and Idlib in Syria with ballistic missiles and drones. Subsequently, it attacked Pakistan under the guise of the Baluch terrorist organizations - which was a counterproductive move given Pakistan’s retaliation. The fact that the missiles fired from Iran hit targets 1200 km away and that they have a total range of 1450 kilometers can be seen as a message to Israel. Nevertheless, it was an unexpected move that Erbil, which has been targeted by Iran-backed militias since 2020, was subjected to such an unprecedented attack, given the destruction of the missiles caused on the site. Therefore, it is clear that the Erbil attack is a new stage in Iran’s ‘missile diplomacy.’
**Strategic Patience: Quo Vadis?**

The Iranian state mind has put forth the concept of ‘strategic patience’ in the aftermath of the assassination of IRGC Quds Force Commander Qasem Soleimani by the US in Baghdad in January 2020. Since then, Tehran has embarked on a long-term struggle against the initial promises of revenge against the US. As a matter of fact, since that day, Tehran has not taken very severe actions that could seriously harm the US. If Trump’s remarks were factual, Iran even warned Washington about the US targets it would hit in Iraq in advance. Iran has tried to harm the US through long-term strategies and militia organizations’ attacks over the past four years. However, the recent developments in the Middle East, which is on fire, and the fact that an attack carried out by Iran-backed militias led to the death of three US soldiers in Jordan and injured dozens of others indicate that Tehran may be stuck in a “strategic stalemate.” The serious escalation of tension caused by the killing of US soldiers increases the risk dosage for Iran. That is to say, as the allies’ pressure on Iran increases, the country moves away from rationality in its steps, given the fact that Tehran does not want to suffer a loss of trust among its non-state armed allies. As a result of the move away from rationality, Iran attacks neighboring countries that should not be made hostile.

In consequence, grave mistakes occur. The IRGC targeted the house of a Kurdish businessman in Erbil with ballistic missiles, claiming that it was Mossad’s headquarters. The businessman in question was killed along with his one-year-old baby and three other persons. As if this mistake was not enough, a nuclear power, Pakistan, was attacked, which was a strategic mistake. It did not take long for Iran to realize that targeting Pakistan did not resemble targeting Syria or Erbil. Pakistan retaliated quickly. Iran’s air defense systems and Tehran’s deterrence have become questionable due to Pakistan's targeting of Iranian territory under the pretext of terrorist organizations, the same pretext put forward by Iran. It goes without saying that the region’s heavyweights, such as Saudi Arabia and Turkey, are closely following the developments transpiring in Iran and that what is happening now will constitute a reference point for the steps Ankara and Riyadh will take in the coming period.

Although Iran seems to be gaining power through armed organizations it backs in the Middle East, its steps risk creating a rift with the region’s capitals and neighboring countries in the political arena. Put it differently, Iran’s risk of isolation is becoming greater than ever. For example, profound sympathy towards Iran among the Pakistani population is likely to decrease due to Iran’s attack on the Pakistani territory. Moreover, it is known that Iran recruited hundreds of Pakistanis to fight on the side of the Assad regime in Syria under the name of the Zainabiyoun Brigade. From this moment on, Iran’s recruitment of
Shiite militias from Pakistan may also be at risk. Overall, what Iran was left with was the unnecessary tension it created with a nuclear power neighbor with a population of more than 200 million.

In addition to this, after the Erbil attack, there was an unexpected reaction to Iran in Iraq. The dosage of the reaction is quite surprising due to Iran’s political influence on politics in Baghdad through Shiite political groups and militias. The Iraqi Ministry of Foreign Affairs condemned\textsuperscript{24} the Erbil attack and threatened Tehran that it could bring the attacks in question to the United Nations Security Council. More importantly, Iraqi Premier Mohammed Shia’ Al Sudani, who has the backing of Iranian-backed Shiite political groups, canceled\textsuperscript{25} his expected meeting with Iranian Foreign Minister Hossein Amir-Abdollahian in Davos.

**Deterrence of Nuclear Power and Concluding Remarks**

Although Iran contemplates that the United States cannot directly target its territory due to Iran’s nuclear capabilities, it is not known whether Washington will act so rationally. Since the beginning of the Gaza war, neither Tehran nor Washington has desired to confront each other directly. Undoubtedly, who wants to pit the US against Iran is Israeli Prime Minister Benjamin Netanyahu who is ready to do anything to prolong his political life in Israel. Considering the disasters caused by irrational decisions of leaders in world political history, Washington’s possible intervention in Iran without taking lessons from its previous wars, such as Iraq, Afghanistan, and Vietnam, corresponds to a disaster scenario for the entire Middle East.

After the killing of three\textsuperscript{26} US soldiers in Jordan, voices of “Hit Iran” have begun to rise in the US. Especially, Republican senators\textsuperscript{27} are raising their voices on this issue. Despite this, the US has acted rationally so far and avoided a larger confrontation with Iran. As a matter of fact, the US President articulated that he does not want to trigger a regional war\textsuperscript{28}, yet the US will respond to Iran. Secretary of State Anthony Blinken similarly remarked and claimed that their response to Iran would be multi-leveled\textsuperscript{29}, gradual, and long-term. This has crystallized in the US’ concerted retaliatory air strikes in Syria and Iraq. In early February, major airstrikes were conducted on 85 targets\textsuperscript{30} in Syria and Iraq against the Iran-backed militias.

Nevertheless, before the strikes, the Iran-backed militias largely evacuated\textsuperscript{31} their sites in anticipation of attacks. Despite this, casualties\textsuperscript{32} are taking place in Syria and Iraq,
including civilians. Shortly, Tehran is between a rock and a hard place. It cannot remain silent to US&Israel strikes as doing otherwise will further erode its deterrence. Depending on this, Tehran targets US allies. The Erbil attack and the recent targeting of the US ally in Syria, the SDF, which resulted in 6 casualties\textsuperscript{33} are indicative of this situation.

The US and Iran have engaged in a war of attrition against each other amidst the rumors of US withdrawal\textsuperscript{34, 35} from Syria and Iraq and avoided a direct large-scale conflict thus far. However, the current political and military climate of the Middle East suggests that the situation could spiral out of control at any moment due to the serious escalation. There is a limit to escalating tension in international relations, and it is impossible to predict every move of your interlocutor/rival.

US President Joe Biden undoubtedly sees the possibility of losing the election\textsuperscript{36} to his probable rival, Donald Trump, due to his terrible management of the Gaza crisis. At such a significant time period for him, the US soldiers’ coffins are arriving in the US, increasing domestic pressure on him. Therefore, the possibility of Biden embarking on a new adventure against Tehran stemming from Iran’s reckless attacks in order to save face in the country is not a risk to be taken lightly. Only time will show whether Tehran’s move to consolidate trust in the non-state armed actors it supports through attacks on Israel, the US, and their allies will result in the targeting of its territory. If Iran’s brinkmanship strategy backfires due to its miscalculations and the US intervenes in the country, the whole Middle East will turn into hell.
Endnotes
5- Israeli airstrike on Damascus killed at least 5 Iranian advisers, state media say, NPR, 20 January 2024, https://www.npr.org/2024/01/20/122592450/reported-israeli-airstrike-on-damascus-kills-commander-with-irans-elite-force
9- Iran executes four Kurdish political prisoners, Rudaw, 29 January 2024, https://www.rudaw.net/english/middleeast/iran/29012024
10- Paul Iddon, What was behind Iran’s deadly missile attack on Iraqi Kurdistan?, The New Arab, 17 January 2024, https://www.newarab.com/analysis/what-was-behind-iran-s-missile-attack-iraqi-kurdistan
12- Svetlana Ekimenko, Iran’s Strike on Erbil is ‘Deliberate & Calculated Move’ to Show Its Missile Abilities, Expert Says, Sputnik, 16 January 2024, Iran’s Strike on Erbil is ‘Deliberate & Calculated Move’ to Show Its Missile Abilities, Expert Says
16- See. https://twitter.com/alihashem_tv/status/175420775390811363
17- Karwan Faidhi Dri, Who was the Kurdish businessman killed by Iranian missiles in Erbil?, Rudaw, 17 January 2024, https://www.rudaw.net/english/kurdistan/16012024
20- Riaz Khokhar and Asma Khalid, Iran Has Conducted Strikes in Pakistan Before. This Time Was Different., The Diplomat, 26 January 2024, https://thediplomat.com/2024/01/iran-has-conducted-strikes-in-pakistan-before-this-time-was-different/
22- See. https://twitter.com/omairanas/status/1747937277752254669
24- Iraq takes ‘Iranian aggression’ case to the UN following Erbil attack, Rudaw, 16 January 2024, https://www.rudaw.net/english/middleeast/iraq/16012024
25- Iraqi, Kurdish PMs cancel talks with Iran at Davos, The Cradle, 17 January 2024, https://thecradle.co/articles-id/19290
28- Felicia Schwartz and James Politi, Can Biden respond to Iran-backed attack without escalating the war?, Financial Times, 30 January 2024, https://www.ft.com/content/68364f9d-ee60-4033-a69b-cf2c09aaf116
29- Stephen Collinson, Biden has to deal with a second war he didn't want. His task is to contain it, CNN, 31 January 2024, https://edition.cnn.com/2024/01/30/politics/biden-middle-east-war-analysis/index.html
33- Beatrice Farhat, 6 Kurdish SDF forces killed in Iran-linked attack on Syria base housing US troops, Al Monitor, 5 February 2024, https://www.al-monitor.com/originals/2024/02/6-kurdish-sdf-forces-killed-iran-linked-attack-syria-base-housing-us-troops
35- Charles Lister, America Is Planning to Withdraw From Syria—and Create a Disaster, Foreign Policy, 24 January 2024, https://foreignpolicy.com/2024/01/24/america-is-planning-to-withdraw-from-syria-and-create-a-disaster/
ABOUT AL SHARQ STRATEGIC RESEARCH

A think tank that looks to undertake impartial, rigorous research to promote the ideals of democratic participation, an informed citizenry, multi-stakeholder dialogue and social justice.

ABOUT THE AUTHOR

Mehmet Emin Cengiz is a Research Fellow at the Istanbul-based international think tank Al Sharq Strategic Research, focusing on Syria, Turkey, and the wider Middle East. After receiving his B.A. from the Sociology Department at Ege University in 2016, Cengiz completed his master’s degree at the Department of Sociology and Anthropology of the Middle East at Marmara University in 2020. During his B.A., he also participated in the Erasmus+ Program and studied at Heinrich-Heine-Universität Düsseldorf as an international exchange student in Germany. Cengiz’s pieces regarding Turkish foreign policy, the Syrian war, and non-state armed actors have appeared in national and international media outlets and think tanks. His research interests are the Syrian conflict, non-state armed actors, Turkish foreign policy, volunteer foreign fighters, and regional Kurdish politics.